

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 10/601,481	Applicant(s) FENSOME ET AL.	
	Examiner Raymond J. Henley III	Art Unit 1614	

All Participants:

(1) Raymond J. Henley III.

(2) Cathy A. Kodroff.

Status of Application: Allowed

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 6 July 2006

Time: AM (EDT)

Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☒ No
 If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

None

Claims discussed:

27

Prior art documents discussed:

None

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

Examiner's amendment authorized to correct dependency of claim 27 from claim 41 to claim 1.

Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.


(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature — if appropriate)